



William Lawrence Muckelroy PC  
A Professional Corporation  
1901 N. Olden Avenue Ext., Suite 3A  
Trenton, New Jersey 08618  
(609) 882-2111  
William L. Muckelroy  
Registration No. 26,961

In re Patent Application  
of Leo Kayser III  
Serial No. 09/882,007

For: Automated Matching System for  
Borrowers and Savers

Filing Date: 06/15/2001  
Priority Date: 06/20/2000

**U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Examiner: Ojo O. Oyebisi

**Art Unit: 3628**

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is  
being deposited today with the United  
States Postal Service as first class mail in an  
Envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Fee Amendment  
The Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Irene M. Christine  
name

Irene M. Christine  
signature

May 16, 2007  
Date

Mail Stop Fee Amendment  
The Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**AMENDMENT B**  
**(with SUPPLEMENTAL RULE 131 AFFIDAVIT**  
**and §1.136 PETITION FOR THREE-MONTH EXTENSION TO FILE**  
**and APPLICABLE §1.17(a)(3) and §1.27 FEE)**

**a. Introductory Comments**

5     1.     This is intended as a plenary pleading responsive to the non-final Office Action,  
mailed on November 17, 2006 allowing a shortened statutory period for response of  
three months or an extended statutory period set to expire May 17, 2007 with a three  
month petition and fee for extension. A request for reconsideration, current fee of  
\$510.00, and petition for a three-month extension of time to May 17, 2007 are  
10   submitted herewith.

2.     Also submitted herewith is a supplemental Rule 131 affidavit of the inventor  
with original documents attached to evidence a date for completion of the invention of  
November 1985, prior to the December 31, 1998 priority date of Levine et al  
15   6,233,566.

3.     Claims 1-2,4, and 5 were rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) based on U.S. Patent  
No. 6,233,566 issued to Levine et al.

20    4.     Applicant thanks the Examiner for allowing substitution of the new oath,  
petition,  
and power executed by the inventor. Also, applicant's counsel apologizes for the  
unintentional misinterpretation of the effective date of Levine as being the date of filing  
of the non-provisional rather than the Dec. 31, 1998 date of filing of its provisional  
25   application. The very helpful assistance and explanation by the Examiner during a

telephone inquiry after the last Office Action is also appreciated.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Levine et al combined with Fraser et al.

5

6. Claims 1-5 have been cancelled and replaced with modified new claims 6 -10 supported entirely by the 1985 Specification. Additional claims 11 -19, each being of narrower scope, have been added. Claims 6 -19 are not believed to be taught, averred, or intimated by Levine et al, Fraser et al, or Teagel et al, whether applied singly or  
10 combined.

7. For the reasons set forth in detail below in the remarks, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner examine the new claims and consider the allowability of each.

15

8. It is submitted that the supplemental affidavit is proof the inventions recited in claims 6-19 were completed on or before November 30, 1985. Thus, removal of Levine et al as a reference to support any rejection is requested for the following factual and legal reasons, to wit:

20